


Latest Survey Results | Sept. 30 - Nov. 28

The generation planning survey serves as an engagement tool to gather public input and feedback on the options for how CPS Energy powers our community in the future. Survey results will be posted bi-weekly on cpsenergy.com/pathforward. The survey will remain active through the end of the year. Final survey results will be shared with our Board of Trustees for consideration in late 2022.

Survey Participation: 614

A total of 614 customers responded to at least question one on this survey.


Question 1: Please select three objectives listed below that are important to you in how CPS Energy powers our community now and in the future.



Answer Options	Registered Responses
System reliability & climate resiliency: CPS Energy's ability to consistently deliver electrical power to my home and/or business including during extreme weather events.	513
Affordability: A customer's ability to pay for monthly electric services.	465
Environmental sustainability: The environmental impact of the CPS Energy generation mix.	341
CPS Energy financial stability: Services to customers are provided at a rate that consistently generates enough revenue to meet CPS Energy's expenses.	173
System flexibility: A planning objective to diversify the generation mix to support CPS Energy's ability to respond to changing conditions.	202
CPS Energy workforce impact: CPS Energy employees impacted by power plant closure or the additional employees needed for a planned new power plant.	76
Other (please specify)	72

^{*}See Appendix A for Question 1 other responses

Question 2: What is your primary preference for how CPS Energy will make power in the future?



Answer Options	Registered Responses
Gas: Future power to come from natural gas technologies.	60
Renewables: Future power to come from wind, solar, and storage technologies.	253
Blend: Future power to come from gas, wind, solar, and storage technologies.	188
Energy Efficiency Programs: Demand met by reducing usage.	20
Other (please specify):	78

^{*}See Appendix B for Question 2 other responses

Comments are listed as they were submitted on the survey platform. Comments listed on this report include those submitted between the last survey results posted (November 14) to the current report date (November 29).

Question 3 (optional response): Please provide any additional comments or feedback you would like to share with CPS Energy regarding Powering Our Community's Future.

- Need reliable energy! Why is nuclear not on the list? Why is reliable coal not on the list? The survey is biased in its questions.
- Blending with renewable resource and nuclear
- Texas is already inhospitable for much of the year without significant power being expended on indoor temperature control. It will only get worse in the coming decades due to climate change. Any action that can be taken to minimize CO2 and particulate emissions while maximizing power generation and grid resilience needs to be pursued.
- "The town hall meeting, is it to deliver information to tell the public that rates will increase again? I ask
 the question because there was not enough information for Me to help planing but just enough info to
 let us know the rates will increase."
- I want my power to turn on but also not hurt the environment. Communicate to the community which portfolio is best for everyone.
- You should allow outside energy aggregators with a more robust team into market to better capture distributed energy resources within CPS
- After the snow storm proved that renewables are unreliable in that type of weather, why is there still a strong push to obtain more, knowing what we know now about them?
- please invest in upgrading homes and buildings to be energy efficient and shut down spruce
- Please make sure that there is enough reserve to avoid any blackouts and brownouts during the hottest days and the coldest days.
- Look more into the efficiency of nuclear power and the negative effects of other types of power generation when it comes to building, life span and disposing of solar cells and windmills.
- You need to keep the customers first in your decisions, the ones that are struggling living paycheck to paycheck with record inflation this year. It's been a tough year, please keep our bills as low as possible.
- "Stop closing coal plants. Bring more nuclear power online"
- 100% Renewables are not a good idea. It takes such a large footprint and it doesn't produce as much as nuclear or gas. A blended option is smarter and gives more flexibility. Putting all your eggs in one basket will create a crisis.
- The electric bills also should reflect the time of the house built and CPS should offer more or free options to help lower income house which are older like mine with free window upgrades or installing insulation
- ""Renewables" have poor cost vs benefit and can easily be impacted by weather. They also use fossil fuels in developing the "renewable" components so they are not as "green" as advertised. They also rely too much on importing parts from foreign countries like China. Nuclear is by the best option.

Waste/emissions is very minimal. Very sustainable and reliable. Many good examples already available to look at."

- I would like to see more solar panels on businesses with large roof space could CPS give an incentive to businesses or "rent" that space for a solar farm? Please diversify with renewables at the forefront. Please provide incentives for people to reduce usage by creating a fee schedule that increases when usage increases.
- Renewables are the future. Declining costs and technological innovation mean that all roads must go green. I am in favor of including nuclear energy into that grouping as well. Reducing carbon emissions should be our top priority.
- Green energy needs to be prioritized. Surplus money from CPS should go toward sustainable environmental efforts.
- Investing in renewable energy is the answer, the sun and the wind are an unlimited source of FREE and CLEAN power
- These bills are TOO expensive! And cutting power in the winter or heat is a death sentence in Texas.
- "No more coal. Please consider expansion of nuclear power and other green technologies over greater reliance on natural gas. Natural gas failed us during winter storm Uri. Some natural gas may be needed to bolster the reliability of green technologies."
- The CPSE tree rebate does not apply fir trees planting on north side of house. We're not in the arctic; sun's path is to our north during summer. Please reconsider.
- Keep trying to educate customers even though many ignore facts and what is realistic.
- Gas is the most reliable form of energy production and its use should be maximized in San Antonio's ability to meet future demand.
- Environmental sustainable and reliable energy is the key for San Antonio residents.
- Nuclear shouldn't be overlooked and should be part of any transition to clean energy technology plan.
 It should be preferred over even gas
- In choosing only 3 objectives in question #1 there should be no assumption that those not chosen are not important. Clearly CPS must be able to adjust rates to cover its cost of operations. So, having rates that are affordable to customers is subjective at best. But hopefully there will be a continuing effort to stress affordability while tending to the necessary practice of coving the cost of maintaining long-term operations.
- Renewables are both the future and a Council-driven mandate for the City of San Antonio.
- CPS Energy should focus on reliability and affordability. Please consider additional options such as nuclear and continued use of coal as well as natural gas. Renewable options are still in their infancy and should not be utilized because of public pressures as opposed to focusing on the mission for all of the CPS Energy customers.
- Climate change is an urgent global crisis. CPS must position itself to be part of the solution, not of the problem.

Appendix A

Question 1 other responses:

- Reliability blend. Incorporate Blend, but include others that are more reliable and not solely reliant on gas prices.
- Nuclear
- Nuclear
- Most afforable for the customer
- Blend with primary focus on Nuclear
- nuclear
- Gas, nuclear and renewables

Appendix B

Question 2 other responses:

- Save the planet
- Home/building weatherization and adaptation
- Nuclear
- no fuels requiring extraction from below the Earth's surface
- Everything else should work around enviornmental sustainability

Participation by area (optional response):

Council District/Community	Registered Responses
District 1	46
District 2	10
District 3	30
District 4	4
District 5	13
District 6	12
District 7	20
District 8	46
District 9	68
District 10	29
Timberwood Park	11
Boerne	1
Fair Oaks Ranch	7
Helotes	6
Pleasanton	1

Adkins	1
Converse	6
Marion	1
Canyon Lake	1
Universal City	3
St. Hedwig	2
Schertz	4
Bulverde	1
Alamo Heights	31
Bexar County	42